arXiv:1401.6609v1 [quant-ph] 26 Jan 2014

Classification of the Entangled States of $2 \times L \times M \times N$

Liang-Liang Sun[1], Jun-Li Li[1] and Cong-Feng Qiao[1,2*]

[1]School of Physics, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences
YuQuan Road 19A, Beijing 100049, China

[2] CAS Center for Excellence in Particle Physics
YuQuan Road 19B, Beijing 100049, China

Abstract

A practical entanglement classification scheme for pure state in form of $2 \times L \times M \times N$ under the stochastic local operation and classical communication (SLOCC) is presented, where every inequivalent class of the entangled quantum state may be sorted out according to its standard form and the corresponding transformation matrix, which provides a practical method for determining the interconvertible matrix between two SLOCC equivalent entangled states. Classification examples for the $2 \times 4 \times M \times N$ system are presented.

1 Introduction

Quantum theory stands as a unique pillar of physics. One of the essential aspects providing quantum technologies an advantage over classical methods is quantum entanglement. Quantum entanglement has practical applications in such quantum information processing as quantum teleportation [1], quantum cryptography [2], and dense coding [3, 4]. Based on the various functions in carrying out quantum information tasks, entanglement is classified. If two quantum states are interconverted via stochastic local operation and classical communication (SLOCC), they belong to the same class, are able to carry out the same quantum information task [5]. Mathematically, this is expressed such that the two quantum states

*corresponding author; qiaocf@gucas.ac.cn

in one SLOCC class are connected by an invertible local operator. The operator formalism of the entanglement equivalence problem is therefore the foundation of the qualitative and quantitative characterization of quantum entanglement.

Although the entanglement classification is a well-defined physical problem, generally it is mathematically difficult, especially with the partite and dimension of the Hilbert space growing. Unlike entanglement classification under local unitary operators [6], the full classification under SLOCC for general entangled states has solely been obtained for up to four qubits [5, 7]. In high dimensional and less partite cases, matrix decomposition turns out to be an effective tool for entanglement classification under the SLOCC, e.g. the classification of the $2 \times M \times N$ system was completed [8, 9, 10] and the entanglement classes of the $L \times N \times N$ system have found to be tractable [11]. Although an inductive method was introduced in [12, 13] to process entangled states that incorporate more particles, its complexity substantially increased with increasing number of particles. By using the rank coefficient matrices (RCM) technique [14], the arbitrary dimensional multipartite entangled states have been partitioned into discrete entanglement families [15, 16]. As the multipartite entanglement classes generally contain continuous parameters which grow exponentially as the partite increases [5], such discrete families represent a coarse grained discrimination over the multipartite entanglement classes. Two SLOCC inequivalent quantum states were indistinguishable when falling into the same discrete family. Therefore, a general scheme that is able to completely identify the different entanglement classes and determine the transformation matrices connecting two equivalent states under SLOCC for arbitrary dimensional four-partite states remains a significant unachieved challenge.

This paper presents a general classification scheme for the four-partite $2 \times L \times M \times N$ pure system, where the entangled states are sorted into different entanglement classes under SLOCC by utilizing the tripartite entanglement classification [8, 9, 10] and the matrix realignment technique [17, 18]. In Sec. 2, the quantum states are expressed in the matrix-pair forms. Then the entanglement classification method is accomplished by construction of the standard forms from the matrix-pairs and the determination of the transformation matrices via the matrix realignment technique. Representative examples of $2 \times 4 \times M \times N$ systems are provided in Sec. 3. Summary and conclusion are given in Sec. 4.

2 The classification of $2 \times L \times M \times N$

2.1 The representation of the quantum state

A quantum state of $2 \times L \times M \times N$ takes the following form

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,l,m,n=1}^{2,L,M,N} \gamma_{ilmn} |i,l,m,n\rangle \ , \tag{1}$$

where $\gamma_{ilmn} \in \mathbb{C}$ are complex numbers. In this form, the quantum state may be represented by a high dimensional complex tensor ψ whose elements are γ_{ilmn}. Two such quantum states ψ' and ψ are said to be SLOCC equivalent if [5]

$$\psi' = A^{(1)} \otimes A^{(2)} \otimes A^{(3)} \otimes A^{(4)} \psi \ . \tag{2}$$

Here $A^{(1)} \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$, $A^{(2)} \in \mathbb{C}^{L\times L}$, $A^{(3)} \in \mathbb{C}^{M\times M}$, $A^{(4)} \in \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$ are invertible matrices of 2×2, $L \times L$, $M \times M$, $N \times N$ separately, which act on the corresponding particles. The transformation of the tensor elements reads

$$\gamma'_{i'l'm'n'} = \sum_{i,l,m,n} A^{(1)}_{i'i} A^{(2)}_{l'l} A^{(3)}_{m'm} A^{(4)}_{n'n} \gamma_{ilmn} \ , \tag{3}$$

where $\gamma'_{i'l'm'n'}$ are the tensor elements of ψ', and $A^{(k)}_{ij}$ are the matrix elements of the invertible operators $A^{(k)}$, $k \in \{1,2,3,4\}$.

As a tensor, the quantum state ψ may also be represented in the form of a matrix-pair $\psi \doteq \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}$. To be specific, for the $2 \times L \times M \times N$ system we have

$$\psi \doteq \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1111} & \gamma_{1112} & \cdots & \gamma_{11MN} \\ \gamma_{1211} & \gamma_{1212} & \cdots & \gamma_{12MN} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{1L11} & \gamma_{1L12} & \cdots & \gamma_{1LMN} \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{2111} & \gamma_{2112} & \cdots & \gamma_{21MN} \\ \gamma_{2211} & \gamma_{2212} & \cdots & \gamma_{22MN} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{2L11} & \gamma_{2L12} & \cdots & \gamma_{2LMN} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{4}$$

Here $\Gamma_i \in \mathbb{C}^{L\times MN}$, i.e. complex matrices of L columns and MN rows. For the sake of convenience, here we assume $L < MN$ for $\Gamma_i \in \mathbb{C}^{L\times MN}$; while for $L \geq MN$ case, a $2\times M\times N\times L$ system state is represented as the matrix-pair form of $\Gamma_i \in \mathbb{C}^{MN\times L}$. This ensures the matrix columns being always more than or equal to rows.

In this matrix-pair representation, the SLOCC equivalence of two states ψ' and ψ in Eq.(2) transforms into the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix}\Gamma_1'\\ \Gamma_2'\end{pmatrix} = A^{(1)}\begin{pmatrix}P\Gamma_1 Q\\ P\Gamma_2 Q\end{pmatrix}\ , \tag{5}$$

where $P = A^{(2)}$, $Q^{\mathrm{T}} = A^{(3)}\otimes A^{(4)}$, T stands for matrix transposition, $A^{(1)}$ acts on the two matrices $\Gamma_{1,2}$, and P and Q act on the rows and columns of the $\Gamma_{1,2}$ matrices. The SLOCC equivalence of two $2\times L\times M\times N$ quantum states in Eq.(5) has a similar form to the tripartite $2\times L\times MN$ pure state case in [9]. The sole difference being that Q is not only an invertible operator but also a direct product of two invertible matrices, $A^{(3)}$ and $A^{(4)}$.

2.2 Standard form of a $2\times L\times M\times N$ system

The entanglement classification of the tripartite state $2\times L\times MN$ under SLOCC has already been completed in [8, 9]. Two tripartite entangled states are equivalent if and only if their standard forms coincide. We define such standard form of $2\times L\times MN$ to be the standard form of the matrix-pair of a $2\times L\times M\times N$ system, i.e.

$$T\otimes P\otimes Q^{\mathrm{T}}\,\psi = T\begin{pmatrix}P\Gamma_1 Q\\ P\Gamma_2 Q\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}E\\ J\end{pmatrix}\ . \tag{6}$$

Here $T\in\mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$, $P\in\mathbb{C}^{L\times L}$, $Q\in\mathbb{C}^{MN\times MN}$ are all invertible matrices, and E is the unit matrix, J is in Jordan canonical form (we refer to [9] for the general case of the standard form). The Jordan canonical form J has a typical expression of

$$J = \bigoplus_i J_{n_i}(\lambda_i)\ , \tag{7}$$

wherein $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $J_{n_i}(\lambda_i)$ are $n_i \times n_i$ Jordan blocks

$$J_{n_i}(\lambda_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_i & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_i & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix} . \tag{8}$$

For the $2 \times L \times M \times N$ quantum state ψ in the form of Eq.(4), the following proposition exists:

Proposition 1 *If two quantum states of $2 \times L \times M \times N$ are SLOCC equivalent then their corresponding matrix-pairs have the same standard forms under the invertible operators $T \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$, $P \in \mathbb{C}^{L\times L}$, $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{MN\times MN}$.*

Proof: Suppose that two quantum states of $2 \times L \times M \times N$, ψ and ψ' are represented in the matrix-pairs

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} \ , \ \psi' = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma'_1 \\ \Gamma'_2 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{9}$$

The standard form of ψ under the the invertible operators of $T_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$, $P_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{L\times L}$, $Q_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{MN\times MN}$ is constructed as that of a $2 \times L \times MN$ system, which is

$$T_0 \otimes P_0 \otimes Q_0^{\mathrm{T}} \ \psi = T_0 \begin{pmatrix} P_0\Gamma_1 Q_0 \\ P_0\Gamma_2 Q_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ J \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{10}$$

If ψ' is the SLOCC equivalent of ψ, then there exists the invertible matrices $A^{(i)}$, such that

$$A^{(1)} \otimes A^{(2)} \otimes A^{(3)} \otimes A^{(4)} \ \psi' = \psi \ . \tag{11}$$

The matrix-pair form of ψ' could also be transformed into $\begin{pmatrix} E \\ J \end{pmatrix}$ via invertible matrices, because

$$\begin{aligned} & T_0 A^{(1)} \otimes P_0 A^{(2)} \otimes Q_0^{\mathrm{T}} (A^{(3)} \otimes A^{(4)}) \ \psi' \\ = \ & T_0 \otimes P_0 \otimes Q_0^{\mathrm{T}} \ \psi \\ = \ & \begin{pmatrix} E \\ J \end{pmatrix} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Q.E.D.

This proposition serves as a necessary condition for the SLOCC equivalence of the entangled states of the $2 \times L \times M \times N$ system. Thus two $2 \times L \times M \times N$ entangled states are exclusively SLOCC equivalent if their matrix-pair representations have the same standard form under the local invertible operators $T \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$, $P \in \mathbb{C}^{L\times L}$, $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{MN\times MN}$. The converse of Proposition 1 is not true, which means that different entanglement classes of $2\times L\times M\times N$ system may have the same standard form under the SLOCC .

2.3 The transformation matrices to the standard form

The standard forms of the tripartite $2 \times L \times MN$ system have been regarded as the standard forms of a corresponding $2\times L\times M\times N$ system, or more accurately, the entanglement families of a $2 \times L \times M \times N$ system, each of which may consist of many entanglement classes under SLOCC. In addition, the transforming matrices T, P, Q for the standard form in Eq.(6) were also obtained.

Generally the transformation matrices for the standard form are not unique. For example, if T_0, P_0, Q_0 in Eq.(10) are the matrices that transform ψ into its standard form, then the following matrices will do likewise

$$T_0 \otimes SP_0 \otimes (Q_0 S^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}} \psi = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ J \end{pmatrix} , \tag{13}$$

where $SJS^{-1} = J$, i.e. $[S, J] = 0$. The commutative relation implies that if all the λ_i in the Jordan form J of Eq.(7) have geometric multiplicity 1, then S may be expressed as $S = \oplus S_{n_i}$, where S_{n_i} is the $n_i \times n_i$ upper triangular Toeplitz matrix

$$S_{n_i} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{i1} & s_{i2} & s_{i3} & \cdots & s_{in_i} \\ 0 & s_{i1} & s_{i2} & \cdots & s_{in_i-1} \\ 0 & 0 & s_{i1} & \cdots & s_{in_i-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & s_{i1} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{14}$$

For the general case of the geometric multiplicity of λ_i, we refer to [11] and the references therein. There may also be an invertible operation $S_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$ which acts on the first particle

and leave the ranks of the pair of matrices invariant. This operation could be compensated by the operations on the second and third particles which leave the standard form invariant

$$S_1 \begin{pmatrix} S_2 E S_3 \\ S_2 J S_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ J \end{pmatrix} . \tag{15}$$

Here the parameters in matrices $S_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{L\times L}$, $S_3 \in \mathbb{C}^{MN\times MN}$ solely depend on that of S_1, as shown in the proof of the two theorems in [8].

Combining Eqs.(13) and (15), the matrices that keep the tripartite standard forms invariant are

$$S_1 \begin{pmatrix} S S_2 E S_3 S^{-1} \\ S S_2 J S_3 S^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ J \end{pmatrix} . \tag{16}$$

Hence, the transformation matrices which connect the two quantum states ψ and ψ', which have the same standard form of matrix-pair, could generally be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & T_0 \otimes P_0 \otimes Q_0^{\mathrm{T}} \ \psi = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ J \end{pmatrix} = T_0' \otimes P_0' \otimes Q_0'^{\mathrm{T}} \ \psi' \\ \Rightarrow \quad & \psi' = T \otimes P \otimes Q^{\mathrm{T}} \ \psi \ , \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $T = T_0'^{-1} S_1 T_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$, $P = P_0'^{-1} S S_2 P_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{L\times L}$, $Q^{\mathrm{T}} = Q_0 S_3 S^{-1} Q_0'^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{MN\times MN}$, see Figure 1. These matrices may be obtained when the standard forms are constructed. The nonuniqueness comes from the symmetries of standard forms. A detailed example for the construction of these matrices is presented in Sec. 3.3.

2.4 The matrix realignment method

To complete the entanglement classification the matrix realignment technique is introduced. With each matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$, the matrix vectorization is defined to be [19]

$$\mathrm{vec}(A) \equiv (a_{11}, \cdots, a_{m1}, a_{12}, \cdots, a_{m2}, a_{1n}, \cdots, a_{mn})^{\mathrm{T}} . \tag{18}$$

If the dimensions of A have $m = m_1 m_2$, $n = n_1 n_2$, then it is expressed in the following block-form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1n_1} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2n_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m_1 1} & A_{m_1 2} & \cdots & A_{m_1 n_1} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{19}$$



Figure 1: Transformation routes between two quantum states. Two quantum states ψ, ψ' of $2\times L\times M\times N$ have the same standard form (E, J) under the operations (T_0, P_0, Q_0), and (T_0', P_0', Q_0'), and (E, J) is invariant under (S_1, SS_2, S_3S^{-1}). Here all the triples of the transformation matrices have the dimensions of $(2\times 2, L\times L, MN\times MN)$. If there exists a route (bold line) where $Q_0S_3S^{-1}Q_0'^{-1}$ may be written as the Kronecker product of two invertible matrices of $\mathbb{C}^{M\times M}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$, then ψ' and ψ are the SLOCC equivalent $2\times L\times M\times N$ entangled states.

Here A_{ij} are $m_2\times n_2$ submatrices. The realignment of the matrix $A\in\mathbb{C}^{m_1m_2\times n_1n_2}$ according to the blocks $A_{ij}\in\mathbb{C}^{m_2\times n_2}$ is defined to be

$$\mathscr{R}(A)\equiv\left(\mathrm{vec}(A_{11}),\cdots,\mathrm{vec}(A_{m_11}),\mathrm{vec}(A_{12}),\cdots,\mathrm{vec}(A_{m_12}),\cdots,\mathrm{vec}(A_{m_1n_1})\right)^{\mathrm{T}},$$

where $\mathscr{R}(A)\in\mathbb{C}^{m_1n_1\times m_2n_2}$. It has been proved that there exists a Kronecker Product singular value decomposition (KPSVD) of a matrix $A\in\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ with the integer factorizations $m=m_1m_2$ and $n=n_1n_2$, which tells [17]:

Lemma 2 *For a matrix $A\in\mathbb{C}^{m_1m_2\times n_1n_2}$, if $\mathscr{R}(A)\in\mathbb{C}^{m_1n_1\times m_2n_2}$ has the singular value decomposition (SVD) $\mathscr{R}(A)=U\Sigma V^{\dagger}$, where $\Sigma=\mathrm{diag}\{\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_r\}$, $\sigma_i>0$ are the singular values and r is the rank of $\mathscr{R}(A)$, then $A=\sum_{k=1}^{r}\sigma_kU_k\otimes V_k$, where $U_k\in\mathbb{C}^{m_1\times n_1}$, $V_k\in\mathbb{C}^{m_2\times n_2}$, $\mathrm{vec}(U_k)=\sqrt{\sigma_k/\alpha_k}\,u_k$, $\mathrm{vec}(V_k)=\sqrt{\alpha_k\sigma_k}\,v_k^*$, the scaling parameters $\alpha_k\neq 0$ are arbitrary and u_k, v_k are the left and right singular vectors of $\mathscr{R}(A)$.*

This technique has been applied for recognizing bipartite entanglement [20] and determining the local unitary equivalence of two quantum states [18, 21]. From Lemma 2 the following Lemma is obtained:

Lemma 3 *An $MN \times MN$ invertible matrix A is expressed as the Kronecker product of an $M \times M$ invertible matrix and an $N \times N$ invertible matrix iff the rank of $\mathscr{R}(A)$ is 1.*

Proof: According to Lemma 2, if the matrix realignment of A with respect to factorization $m_1 = M$, $n_1 = N$ is 1, then A could be expressed as $A = \sigma_1 U_1 \otimes V_1$, where $U \in \mathbb{C}^{M\times M}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$. From the properties of the Kronecker product, as A is invertible it implies that both U_1 and V_1 are invertible. If $A = U \otimes V$ where $U \in \mathbb{C}^{M\times M}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$, then it is clear that the matrix realignment of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ with respect to the factorization $m = n = MN$ has rank 1.

2.5 The complete classification of a $2 \times L \times M \times N$ system

Following the preparation of Sec. 2.4, the following theorem for the entanglement classification of $2 \times L \times M \times N$ pure states under SLOCC is presented

Theorem 4 *Two $2 \times L \times M \times N$ quantum states ψ and ψ' are SLOCC equivalent iff their corresponding matrix-pair representations have the same standard forms and the realignment of the transformation matrices Q in Eq.(17) could have rank one.*

Proof: If two $2 \times L \times M \times N$ quantum states ψ and ψ' are SLOCC equivalent and the connecting matrices between ψ and ψ' are $A^{(i)}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$\psi' = A^{(1)} \otimes A^{(2)} \otimes A^{(3)} \otimes A^{(4)} \, \psi \, , \tag{20}$$

then they have the same standard form in the matrix-pair form according to Proposition 1. Through this standard form, there is another connecting route between ψ and ψ' in addition to Eq.(20), i.e.

$$\psi' = T \otimes P \otimes Q^{\mathrm{T}} \, \psi \, . \tag{21}$$

Combining Eq.(20) and Eq.(21) yields

$$T^{-1}A^{(1)} \otimes P^{-1}A^{(2)} \otimes ((Q^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1}A^{(3)} \otimes A^{(4)}) \, \psi = \psi \, . \tag{22}$$

As the unit matrices $E \otimes E \otimes E$ must be one of the operators which stabilizes the quantum state ψ in the matrix-pair form, Q^{T} has the solution of $Q^{\mathrm{T}} = A^{(3)} \otimes A^{(4)}$. Thus $\mathscr{R}(Q)$ has rank one according to Lemma 3.

If the two quantum states have the same standard forms, then we will have Eq.(17). If the matrix realignment $\mathscr{R}(Q)$ according to the factorization $MN = M \times N$ has rank one, then Q may be decomposed as $Q = Q_1 \otimes Q_2$ where $Q_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{M\times M}$, $Q_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$. As matrix Q is invertible if and only if both Q_1 and Q_2 are invertible, thus

$$\psi' = T \otimes P \otimes (Q_1 \otimes Q_2)^{\mathrm{T}} \, \psi \, . \tag{23}$$

Therefore ψ' and ψ are SLOCC equivalent entangled states of a $2 \times L \times M \times N$ system. Q.E.D.

To summarize, the entanglement classification scheme for the $2 \times L \times M \times N$ consists of two steps. First, the standard forms of the matrix-pair form $2 \times L \times M \times N$ quantum state ψ are constructed. By utilizing the standard forms, the entangled families of $2 \times L \times M \times N$ and the interconverting matrices between two quantum states in the same family, T, P, Q, are obtained. Second, by determining whether or not the connecting matrix Q may be decomposed as the Kronecker product of two invertible matrices via the matrix realignment technique the SLOCC equivalence of the two quantum states is asserted. Thus the standard form together with the route (for the connecting matrices, see Figure 1) between the quantum states form a complete classification of the $2 \times L \times M \times N$ quantum states.

3 Examples of $2 \times L \times M \times N$

3.1 The total number of genuine entanglement families

A necessary condition for the genuine entanglement of a $2 \times L \times M \times N$ system is that all dimensions of the four particles shall be involved in the entanglement. This requires

$$L \leq 2MN \, , \tag{24}$$

where without loss of generality we assume the largest value of the dimensions to be L. For example, a particle with dimension 25 in a $2 \times 3 \times 4 \times 25$ system would always have

one effective dimension unentangled and it would have at most the genuine entanglement of $2\times3\times4\times24$. For $L=4$, i.e. where the largest value of the dimensions is four, the entangled systems which satisfy Eq.(24) include

$$\begin{aligned} &2\times2\times2\times4\ ,\ 2\times4\times3\times2\ ,\ 2\times4\times4\times2\ ,\\ &2\times4\times3\times3\ ,\ 2\times4\times4\times3\ ,\ 2\times4\times4\times4\ . \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

In the construction of the standard forms (entanglement families) of $2\times L\times M\times N$, only the operator $Q\in\mathbb{C}^{MN\times MN}$ acts on the bipartite Hilbert spaces. As the standard forms give the genuine entanglement of a $2\times L\times MN$ system [9], genuine entanglement families of a $2\times L\times M\times N$ system are obtained if all the dimensions of M and N appear in the standard forms. Therefore the total number of such families is calculated to be

$$\mathcal{N}_f=\sum_{i=d}^{D}\Omega_{L,i} \tag{26}$$

where $i\in\mathbb{N}$, $d=\max\{M,N,\lceil L/2\rceil\}$, $D=\min\{2L,MN\}$, $\Omega_{L,i}$ are the numbers of genuine entanglement classes of a $2\times L\times i$ system ($\Omega_{L,i}$s are calculated from Eq.(29) in [10], with the class containing parameters is counted as being one family). From Eq.(26), the numbers of entanglement families $\mathcal{N}_f$ for the systems in Eq.(25) are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{N}_f(2224)=22\ ,\ \mathcal{N}_f(2432)=39\ ,\ \mathcal{N}_f(2442)=37\ ,\\ &\mathcal{N}_f(2433)=42\ ,\ \mathcal{N}_f(2443)=37\ ,\ \mathcal{N}_f(2444)=37\ . \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Here $\mathcal{N}_f(2LMN)$ stands for the number genuine entanglement families of a $2\times L\times M\times N$ system obtained from our method.

For the sake of comparison, we first list all of the entanglement families for a $2\times2\times2\times2$ system resulting from our method. The $\mathcal{N}_f(2222)=5$ families includes: Two families from $2\times2\times2$ (GHZ and W)

$$|\psi\rangle=|1111\rangle+|1222\rangle+|2111\rangle\ ,\ |\psi\rangle=|1111\rangle+|1222\rangle+|2122\rangle\ ,$$

two families from $2\times2\times3$

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= |1111\rangle+|1212\rangle+|2221\rangle\ ,\\ |\psi\rangle &= |1111\rangle+|1212\rangle+|2112\rangle+|2221\rangle\ , \end{aligned}$$

and one family from $2 \times 2 \times 4$

$$|\psi\rangle = |1111\rangle + |1212\rangle + |2121\rangle + |2222\rangle \ .$$

Following are examples of systems of $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 4$ ($2 \times M \times N \times L$ is written due to $L \geq MN$, see discussions after Eq.(4)) and $2 \times 4 \times 3 \times 2$.

3.2 Examples of $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 4$

The genuine entangled families of $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 4$ quantum states are listed as follows. One family comes from the $2 \times 2 \times 4$ system

$$|\psi\rangle = |1111\rangle + |1222\rangle + |2113\rangle + |2224\rangle \ . \tag{28}$$

Five families come from $2 \times 3 \times 4$ system

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi\rangle &= |1111\rangle + |1122\rangle + |1213\rangle + |2214\rangle \ , \\
|\psi\rangle &= |1111\rangle + |1122\rangle + |1213\rangle + |2112\rangle + |2214\rangle \ , \\
|\psi\rangle &= |1111\rangle + |1122\rangle + |1213\rangle + |2111\rangle + |2214\rangle \ , \\
|\psi\rangle &= |1111\rangle + |1122\rangle + |1213\rangle + |2123\rangle + |2214\rangle \ , \\
|\psi\rangle &= |1111\rangle + |1212\rangle + |1321\rangle + |2112\rangle + |2123\rangle + |2214\rangle \ .
\end{aligned}$$

The other 16 families come from the standard forms of a $2 \times 4 \times 4$ system. There are totally 22 inequivalent families for the genuine $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 4$ entangled classes according to the present method, while 15 distinct genuine entanglement families have been identified in [15].

Among the 16 families from the standard forms of $2 \times 4 \times 4$, we present the following state

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi\rangle &= |111\rangle + |122\rangle + |133\rangle + |144\rangle + \\
&\quad \lambda_1|211\rangle + \lambda_2|222\rangle + \lambda_3|233\rangle \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where $\forall i \neq j$, $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_j$ and $\lambda_{i,j} \neq 0, 1$. According to the RCM method [15], this state would be regarded as one single family $\mathcal{F}_{4,4,4}^{\sigma_0,\sigma_1,\sigma_2}$ regardless of the values of λ_i (still satisfying the

condition of Eq.(29)), $i \in \{1,2,3\}$, and no further assessment of the SLOCC equivalence for the states $|\psi\rangle$ with the parameters of different values may be made.

According to the method presented here, the matrix-pair form of the state $|\psi\rangle$ is

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ J \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{30}$$

Here

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ , \ J = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{31}$$

It has already been the standard form of a $2 \times 4 \times 4$ system. From [10], we have the following two facts concerning this standard form. First, the operations of

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} & \frac{-\lambda - 2}{\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \end{pmatrix} \ , \ P = \mathrm{diag}\{1, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3}, \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_2}\} \ , \ Q = E \tag{32}$$

will transform the state into

$$\psi(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{33}$$

The continuous parameter $\lambda = [\lambda_2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)]/[\lambda_3(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)]$, the cross ratio for the quadruple $(0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$, is invariant under $T \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$, $P \in \mathbb{C}^{4\times 4}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{4\times 4}$ which are the invertible operators maintaining the invariance of the standard form. Second, there is a residual symmetry for λ whose generators are $F(\lambda) = 1/\lambda$, $G(\lambda) = 1 - \lambda$. Thus $\psi(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in \{\lambda, 1/\lambda, 1-\lambda, \lambda/(\lambda-1), 1/(1-\lambda), 1-1/\lambda\}$ are all SLOCC equivalent. The transformation

matrices for F and G are

$$G = T \otimes P \otimes Q = \begin{pmatrix} -1, & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{34}$$

$$F = T \otimes P \otimes Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\lambda, & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{35}$$

Thus $\psi(\lambda)$ is a continuous entanglement family of a $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 4$ system. However when the values of λ are in the set $\mathcal{S}_\lambda = \{\lambda, 1/\lambda, 1-\lambda, \lambda/(\lambda-1), 1/(1-\lambda), 1-1/\lambda\}$, $\psi(\lambda)$s will belong to the same entanglement family, e.g. $\psi(2)$, $\psi(1/2)$, and $\psi(-1)$ belong to the same $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 4$ entanglement family.

Here we use the theorem 4 to verify the SLOCC equivalence of the entangled states $\psi(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_\lambda$, where all of them belong to the same entanglement family. Because the states are represented in the form of $2\times2\times2\times4$ due to $4 \geq 2\times2$, we shall apply the matrix realignment method to the transformation matrices Ps which connect the different entangled states $\psi(\lambda)$ within the same entanglement family. As the Ps act on the bipartite Hilbert space of 2×2, their matrix realignment according to the factorization $4 = 2 \times 2$ are

$$\mathscr{R}(P_G) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} , \mathscr{R}(P_F) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} , \tag{36}$$

where $P_{G,F}$ are just the P operators that bring about the symmetry operations G, F in Eqs.(34) and (35). It is clear that none of them in Eq.(36) can have rank one, therefore the transformation operations relating the λs in the set $\{\lambda, 1/\lambda, 1-\lambda, \lambda/(\lambda-1), 1/(1-\lambda), 1-1/\lambda\}$ cannot be decomposed into direct products of two submatrices. We conclude that the states $\psi(\lambda)$ with different values of λ in the same entanglement family are SLOCC inequivalent, e.g. although $\psi(2)$, $\psi(1/2)$, and $\psi(-1)$ belong to the same entanglement family, they are not SLOCC equivalent to each other as the $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 4$ quantum states.

3.3 Examples of $2 \times 4 \times 3 \times 2$

The total number of entanglement families of a $2 \times 4 \times 3 \times 2$ system is obtained from Eq.(26) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_f(2432) &= \sum_{k=3}^{6} \Omega_{4,k} = \Omega_{4,3} + \Omega_{4,4} + \Omega_{4,5} + \Omega_{4,6} \\ &= 5 + 16 + 12 + 6 = 39 \, . \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

In order to show the generalities of the method, we generate a random quantum state for a $2 \times 4 \times 3 \times 2$ system (using built-in function *RandomInteger* [1,{4,6}] of Mathematica), which is $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \, , \; \Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \, .$$

In the quantum state notation, it is

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= |1111\rangle + |1112\rangle + |1122\rangle + |1131\rangle + |1212\rangle + \\ &\quad |1312\rangle + |1332\rangle + |1422\rangle + |1432\rangle + |2121\rangle + \\ &\quad |2122\rangle + |2131\rangle + |2211\rangle + |2221\rangle + |2222\rangle + \\ &\quad |2232\rangle + |2311\rangle + |2321\rangle + |2322\rangle + |2332\rangle + \\ &\quad |2411\rangle + |2422\rangle \, . \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

The rank of Γ_1 is 4, and the following operations

$$T_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \, , \; P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \, , \; Q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \, , \tag{39}$$

will make

$$\Lambda = P_0 \Gamma_1 Q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \, , \; B = P_0 \Gamma_2 Q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \, . \tag{40}$$

The matrix-pair $\begin{pmatrix}\Lambda\\ B\end{pmatrix}$ is the standard form for the randomly generated state ψ. It is invariant under the following operations

$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix}1 & \alpha\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\ ,\ S_2 = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \alpha\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\ ,$$
$$S_3 = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2\alpha & 0 & \alpha^2\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\alpha & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\alpha\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\ . \tag{41}$$

The operations that stated in Eq.(13) are

$$S = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{a_{11}} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ -\frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}a_{22}} & \frac{1}{a_{22}} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{a_{21}a_{32}-a_{22}a_{31}}{a_{11}a_{22}a_{33}} & \frac{a_{32}}{a_{22}a_{33}} & \frac{1}{a_{33}} & -\frac{a_{34}}{a_{22}a_{33}}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{a_{22}}\end{pmatrix}\ ,$$
$$S' = \begin{pmatrix}a_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{22} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{22}\end{pmatrix}\ , \tag{42}$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ are arbitrary parameters which keep S, S' invertible. The transformation matrices (T_0, P_0, Q_0), and (S_1, SS_2, S_3S') are thus readily obtained from the construction of the standard form. We refer to [9] for the details of the construction of the standard form of a tripartite state with one qubit.

Suppose another quantum state ψ' of $2\times 4\times 3\times 2$ has the same standard form as that of ψ in Eq.(40). We would obtain the corresponding transformation matrices T_0', P_0', Q_0' while constructing the standard form from ψ'. Thus by theorem 4, ψ and ψ' are SLOCC equivalent if and only if the matrix realignment $\mathscr{R}(Q_0'^{-1}S_3S'Q_0)$ could have rank one according to the dimensional factorization $6 = 2\times 3$. The example suggests that the scheme works better for higher dimensions, especially in the case of $L = MN$.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, we propose a practical scheme for the entanglement classification of a $2 \times L \times M \times N$ pure system under SLOCC. The method functions by distinguishing the entanglement classes via their standard forms together with their transformation routes to the standard forms. Not only all the different entanglement classes but also the transformation matrices are obtained through the method. This gives the complete classification of the entangled states of $2 \times L \times M \times N$ under SLOCC, which has not yet been addressed in recent literature. The method also reveals that the standard form and the routes to it may be combined to determine the entanglement equivalence under SLOCC which greatly reduces the complexity of the problem. This sheds light on the entanglement classifications of multipartite states which are difficult to process by constructing the standard forms or by computing the interconverting matrices alone.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(NSFC) under grant Nos. 11121092, 11175249, 11375200 and 11205239.

References

[1] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993).

[2] A. K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991).

[3] C.H. Bennett and S. J. Wiesner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2881 (1992).

[4] C.H. Bennett and S. J. Wiesner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4656 (1996).

[5] W. Dür, G. Vidal, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 062314 (2000).

[6] Bin Liu, Jun-Li Li, and Cong-Feng Qiao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 050501 (2012).

[7] F. Verstraete, J. Dehaene, B. De Moor, and H. Verschelde, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 052112 (2002).

[8] Shuo Cheng, Junli Li, and Cong-Feng Qiao, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43**, 055303 (2010).

[9] Jun-Li Li and Cong-Feng Qiao, Quant. Inf. Proc. **12**, 251(2013)

[10] Xikun Li, Junli Li, Bin Liu, and Cong-Feng Qiao, Sci. China G **54**, 1471 (2011).

[11] Jun-Li Li, Shi-Yuan Li, and Cong-Feng Qiao, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 012301 (2012).

[12] L. Lamata, J. León, D. Salgado, and E. Solano, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 052336 (2006).

[13] L. Lamata, J. León, D. Salgado, and E. Solano, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 022318 (2007).

[14] Xiangrong Li and Dafa Li, Phys. Rev. Lett.**108**, 180502 (2012).

[15] Shuhao Wang, Yao Lu, Ming Gao, Jianlian Cui, and Junlin Li, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 105303 (2013).

[16] Shuhao Wang, Yao Lu, and Gui-Lu Long, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 062305 (2013).

[17] Charles F. Van Loan, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **123**, 85 (2000).

[18] Ting-Gui Zhang, Ming-Jing Zhao, Ming Li, Shao-Ming Fei, and Xianqing Li-Jost, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 042304 (2013).

[19] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, (Cambridge University, Cambridge England, 1991).

[20] Kai Che and Ling-An Wu, Quant. Inf. Comp. **3**, 193 (2003).

[21] S. Albeverio, L. Cattaneo, Shao-Ming Fei, and Xiao-Hong Wang, Int. J. Quantum Inform. **03**, 603 (2005).